

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UMITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,501	08/25/2003	Carl T. Allenspach	01139/3/US	2375
26648	7590 11/16/2006		EXAM	INER
PHARMACIA CORPORATION GLOBAL PATENT DEPARTMENT			ARNOLD,	ERNST V
	POST OFFICE BOX 1027 ST. LOUIS, MO 63006			PAPER NUMBER
ST. LOUIS,				

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/647,501	ALLENSPACH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ernst V. Arnold	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	V IS SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi e, cause the application to become	VICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 S</u>	eptember 2006.					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,—						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	±x parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		,				
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-29 is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-29 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	n election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 August 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· ·	•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea 	*	en received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ot received.				
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/22/03, 3/4/04		f Informat Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-29 are pending in the application.

Acknowledgement is made of Applicant's election of Group I claims 1-23 and the drug valdecoxib and the pregelatinized starch corn starch filed on 9/5/06. Applicant did not traverse the restriction requirement and so it is deemed an election without traverse. Claims 24-29 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to non-elected subject matter. Claims 1-23 will be examined as they read upon the elected subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nadkarni et al. (US 2002/0013357 Pub. Date: 01/31/2002).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in

Art Unit: 1616

the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Nadkarni et al. disclose pharmaceutical compositions containing from about 1 mg to about 100 mg of valdecoxib useful in treatment of cyclooxygenase-2-mediated conditions and disorders (Abstract). Nadkarni et al. disclose that the tablet compositions contain pregelatinized starch (National Starch 1500: a corn starch) in the same amount, 20 mg, as the instant application (Page 8, Tables 1 and 2 and claims 1, 4, 6 and 7). Applicant teaches the same pregelatinized starch in the tablet (instant specification, page 21 Table 1). It is the Examiner's position that since the same pregelatinized starches are taught in the same amount then the tablet disclosed by Nadkarni et al. would have low viscosity and/or exhibit a multimodal particle size distribution and read on instant claims 1-6, 17 and 19. Nadkarni et al. disclose valdecoxib particles have a D₉₀ less than about 75 μm (Claim 9) and can be present from about 4 mg to about 40 mg per dose and reads on instant claims 7 and 8 (Claim 4). Nadkarni et al. disclose a tablet wherein the excipients comprise one or more diluents in an amount of about 5% to about 99%, one or more disintegrants in an amount of about 0.2% to about 30%, one or more binding agents, starch, is present in an amount of about 0.5% to about 25%, and one or more lubricants in an amount of about 0.1% to about 10%, by weight of the composition thus anticipating instant claims 20-22 (Claim 5). Nadkarni et al. disclose a tablet wherein the excipients comprise lactose monohydrate, microcrystalline cellulose, croscarmellose sodium, pregelatinized starch and magnesium stearate thus anticipated instant claim 23 (Claim 7).

Application/Control Number: 10/647,501

Art Unit: 1616

Instant claims 9-17 are directed to shear stress values for the pregelatinized starch. Since the disclosure of Nadkarni et al. teaches the exact same pregelatinized starch in the exact same amount as the instant application, then it is the Examiner's position, without evidence to the contrary, that the pregelatinized starch of the disclosure of Nadkarni et al. inherently has those properties. Please note that the Office is not equipped with the proper equipment to test the myriad number of ways an Applicant might measure a variable. When the prior art appears to disclose the same exact components in the same amounts then the burden is shifted to Applicant to demonstrate the difference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nadkarni et al. (WO 01/41761 A2).

Nadkarni et al. disclose pharmaceutical compositions containing from about 1 mg to about 100 mg of valdecoxib useful in treatment of cyclooxygenase-2-mediated conditions and disorders (Abstract). Nadkarni et al. disclose that the tablet compositions contain pregelatinized starch (National Starch 1500: a corn starch) in the same amount, 20 mg, as the instant application (Page 21, Table 1 and claims 1, 4, 6 and 7). Applicant

Application/Control Number: 10/647,501

Art Unit: 1616

teaches the same pregelatinized starch in the tablet (instant specification, page 21 Table 1). It is the Examiner's position that since the same pregelatinized starches are taught in the same amount then the tablet disclosed by Nadkarni et al. would have low viscosity and/or exhibit a multimodal particle size distribution and read on instant claims 1-6, 17 and 19. Nadkarni et al. disclose valdecoxib particles have a D₉₀ less than about 75 μm (Claim 9) and can be present from about 4 mg to about 40 mg per dose and reads on instant claims 7 and 8 (Claim 4). Nadkarni et al. disclose a tablet wherein the excipients comprise one or more diluents in an amount of about 5% to about 99%, one or more disintegrants in an amount of about 0.2% to about 30%, one or more binding agents, starch, is present in an amount of about 0.5% to about 25%, and one or more lubricants in an amount of about 0.1% to about 10%, by weight of the composition thus anticipating instant claims 20-22 (Claim 5). Nadkarni et al. disclose a tablet wherein the excipients comprise lactose monohydrate, microcrystalline cellulose, croscarmellose sodium, pregelatinized starch and magnesium stearate thus anticipated instant claim 23 (Claim 7).

Instant claims 9-17 are directed to shear stress values for the pregelatinized starch. Since the disclosure of Nadkarni et al. teaches the exact same pregelatinized starch in the exact same amount as the instant application, then it is the Examiner's position, without evidence to the contrary, that the pregelatinized starch of the disclosure of Nadkarni et al. inherently has those properties. Please note that the Office is not equipped with the proper equipment to test the myriad number of ways an Applicant might measure a variable. When the prior art appears to disclose the same

Art Unit: 1616

exact components in the same amounts then the burden is shifted to Applicant to demonstrate the difference.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ernst V. Arnold whose telephone number is 571-272-8509. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:15 am-3:45 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,501

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ernst Arnold
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600
Art Unit 1616

Johann Richter, Ph.D. Esq. Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600 Page 7